STRENGTHENING THE **AVAILABILITY OF CHILD** DISABILITY DATA IN S CHOOL CONTEXTS

Filipa de Castro Claudia Cappa Division of Data, Analytics, Planning and Monitoring, UNICEF



## Problem statement

Children with disabilities are at higher risk of exclusion from the educational system, in part due to implementation gaps between disability-inclusive policies and service delivery in schools and communities.

Addressing this gap requires comprehensive and good quality data on:

- The number of children with disabilities in an out of school
- The experience of children in the education system
- The educational structures and resources that are required to deliver a school environment that is adequate for all children and inclusive of children with disabilities

## **TARGET: ALL CHILDREN LEARN**

## DIFFERENTIAL EDUCATION NEEDS

AVAILABILITY – ADEQUACY Children for whom services are available

## ACCESSIBILITY

ENABLING ENVIRONMENT

Children for whom services are available and accessible

- ni fi

ACCEPTABILITY + AFFORDABILITY Children who would use service (willing to use)

CONTACT + INITIAL USE Children who use services

CONTINUOUS USE Children who continue to use service

EFFECTIVE COVERAGE Children who benefit from service



## Data sources

## Data is needed to understand both demand and supply determinants of inclusive education

**Surveys** need to incorporate questions to identify children with disabilities and their education status - be conducted at regular intervals; surveys can also provide information on school environment and barriers to education from the perspective of parents

**Education Management Information Systems** (EMIS) can be capitalized as an important source of data required to inform policy development and evaluation. To meet this objective, EMIS need to be adapted so that they can collect data in a standardized, consistent, reliable and timely manner.

## Past activities and available evidence

- Testing on the application of the Child Functioning Module (CFM) in EMIS lacksquarein Tanzania (2015), Fiji (2015) and Senegal (2019)
- Important conclusions to be addressed in the current testing protocol:
  - Some domains easier to measure than other.
  - The ability for teachers to answer the CFM and related accuracy is related to the teachers' individual knowledge of students.
  - Knowledge deeply related with teacher's characteristics and time spent with children, timing of CFM report during the school year.
  - Training should aim to fully <u>standardize teachers in their understanding</u> of functional difficulties and in their accurate report using the CFM

# TESTING THE USE OF THE CFM QUESTIONS IN EMIS



## **Project aims**

Aggregated schoollevel data

Test whether, and under which conditions, the CFM can be used to generate reliable disability data in the context of EMIS.

Individual student-level data



Identify promising approaches for school-based disability assessment tools and protocols.

Implementation guidance

Generate relevant information on the implementation side and identify the core components of a standardized, comprehensive approach to disability measurement in EMIS.

## EXPECTED OUTCOME

Strengthen countries' capacities in terms of the delivery of inclusive data, relevant for the monitoring of children in regards of Article 24 of the CRPD

## Study design considerations

- The school-based versions of CFM and IEM should, ideally, be tested through a protocol that generates **representative data** of the national educational system with some level of stratification, such as urban and rural settings. The sample design strategy also needs to provide:
  - Sufficient sample size required to ensure precision:
    - In terms of the prevalence of the indicators of interest
    - In terms of the analyses looking at inter-rater reliabilities
  - Adequate sample design addressing the variability and heterogeneity within and between schools
    - Adequate stratification
    - Ensuring sufficient sample sizes within strata maybe requiring oversampling of specific sub-groups

# Data to be collected

School level	Classroom level	Teacher level	
Size	Size	Demographics	Demog
Basic information on student,	Student/teacher ratio	Teacher profile, experience, etc.	Child F
teacher, staff numbers, etc.	Learning spaces	Class hours	Inclusiv
Open times	Class layout	Knowledge, attitudes and practices	
School spaces, infrastructure	Equipment	related to disability and functional	
WASH, internet		difficulties	
Informant:	Informant:	Informant:	Informa
School headmaster/ other	Teacher	Teacher	Teache
school-level informant			Child's











## Student level

## graphics Functioning Module ive Education Module

## nant:

er s mother

## Implementation plan



## **IMPLEMENTATION PHASE 2**



# THANK YOU

